

Policies and Regulations

Policy STC 914

Students

Sex/Gender Harassment, Discrimination and Misconduct

Members of the Southeast Tech community, guests and visitors have the right to be free from all forms of sex/gender harassment, discrimination and misconduct, examples of which can include acts of sexual violence, sexual harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. All members of the campus community are expected to conduct themselves in a manner that does not infringe upon the rights of others. Southeast Tech believes in zero tolerance for sex/gender-based misconduct. When an allegation of misconduct is brought to the appropriate administrator's attention, protective and other remedial measures will be used to reasonably ensure that such conduct ends, is not repeated, and the effects on the victim and community are remedied. Sanctions will be imposed if, after an investigation, a responding party is found to have violated this policy. This policy has been developed to reaffirm these principles and provide recourse for those individuals whose rights have been violated. This policy is intended to define expectations and establish a mechanism for determining when those expectations have been violated.

1. Definitions

- Advisor means a person, who may be, but is not required to be an attorney, chosen by a party or appointed
 by the institution to accompany the party to meetings related to the Grievance Process, to advise the party
 on that process, and to conduct cross-examination for the party at the hearing, if any.
- Appeal Decision-maker refers to those who have decision-making authority within Appeals as part of the Grievance Process.
- Complainant means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sexual
 harassment or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity. A Complainant must be participating in or
 attempting to participate in a Southeast Tech education program or activity at the time of filing the Formal
 Complaint.
- Confidential Resource means an employee who is not a Mandated Reporter of notice of harassment and/or retaliation (irrespective of Clery Act Campus Security Authority status).
- Day means a business day when Southeast Tech is in normal operation.
- Decision-maker(s) means the Hearing Decision-maker, the Appeal Decision-maker, the Disciplinary Sanctions Decision-maker, or any combination thereof.
- Disciplinary Sanction means a consequence imposed by Southeast Tech on a Respondent who is found to have
 violated this policy. Disciplinary sanctions are not supportive measures and may be punitive and may burden the
 Respondent.

- *Disciplinary Sanction Decision-maker* refers to those who have decision-making authority in determining disciplinary sanctions as part of the Grievance process.
- Education program or activity means locations, events, or circumstances where Southeast Tech exercises
 substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurs and also
 includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by Southeast
 Tech.
- *Final Determination* means a conclusion by the preponderance of evidence standard that the alleged conduct did or did not violate policy.
- Finding means a conclusion by the preponderance of evidence standard that the conduct did or did not occur as alleged (as in a "finding of fact").
- Formal Complaint means a document submitted and signed by a Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment or retaliation against a Respondent and requesting that Southeast Tech investigate the allegation. At the time of submitting the Formal Complaint, a Complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of Southeast Tech.
- Grievance Process, also referred to as "Process A," means the resolution process designated by Southeast
 Tech to address conduct that falls within this policy, and which complies with the requirements of the Title
 IX regulations (34 CFR §106.45).
- Grievance Process Pool includes any investigators, informal resolution facilitators, hearing decision-makers, appeal decision-makers, and sanctioning decision-makers who may perform any or all of these roles (though not at the same time or with respect to the same case).
- Hearing Decision-maker refers to those who have decision-making authority within Hearings as part of the Grievance Process.
- Investigator means the person or persons charged by Southeast Tech with gathering facts about an alleged violation of this Policy, assessing relevance and credibility, synthesizing the evidence, and compiling this information into an investigation report and file of directly related evidence as part of the Grievance process.
- Mandated Reporter means an employee of Southeast Tech who is obligated by policy to share knowledge, Notice, and/or reports of harassment and/or retaliation with the Title IX Coordinator. The mere ability or obligation to report sexual harassment or to inform a student about how to report sexual harassment, or having been trained to do so, does not qualify an individual as an Official with Authority.
- Notice (actual knowledge) means that an employee, student, or third-party informs the Title IX Coordinator
 or other Official with Authority of sexual harassment or allegations of sexual harassment and/or retaliatory
 conduct. Imputation of knowledge based solely on vicarious liability or constructive notice is insufficient to
 constitute actual knowledge. This standard is not met when the only official of Southeast Tech with actual
 knowledge is the Respondent.
- Official with Authority (OWA) means an employee of Southeast Tech explicitly vested with the authority to implement corrective measures for sexual harassment and/or retaliation on behalf of Southeast Tech.
- Parties include the Complainant(s) and Respondent(s), collectively.

• Preponderance of Evidence means more likely to have occurred than not. Under the preponderance standard, the burden of proof is met when there is a greater than 50% chance that something occurred.

- Process A means the Formal Grievance Process used with this policy.
- *Process B* means any process designated by Southeast Tech to apply only when Process A does not, as determined by the Title IX Coordinator.
- Remedies are post-finding actions, where a determination of responsibility of sexual harassment has been
 made against the Respondent in accordance with the process set forth herein, directed to the Complainant
 and/or the community as mechanisms to address safety, prevent recurrence, and to restore or preserve
 equal access to Southeast Tech educational program or activity. Such remedies may include supportive
 measures; however, remedies need not be non-disciplinary or non-punitive and need not avoid burdening
 the Respondent.
- Respondent means an employee, student, or organization that has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment or retaliation.
- Resolution means the result of an informal or Formal Grievance Process.
- Sanction means a consequence imposed by Southeast Tech on a Respondent who is found to have violated this policy.
- Sexual Harassment means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:
 - An employee of Southeast Tech conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of Southeast Tech on the individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct (i.e. quid pro quo); or
 - Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is so severe, pervasive, and objectively
 offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to Southeast Tech's education program or activity;
 or
 - Sexual assault as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v); or
 - o Dating violence as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(10); or
 - o Domestic violence as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(8); or
 - Stalking as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(30).

See Section 16 for greater detail.

- Supportive measures means non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate, as
 reasonably available, and without fee or charge to the Complainant or the Respondent before or after the
 filing of a Formal Complaint or where no Formal Complaint has been filed. Such measures are designed to
 restore or preserve equal access to Southeast Tech's education program or activity without unreasonably
 burdening the other party, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties or Southeast
 Tech's educational environment or deter sexual harassment.
- Title IX Coordinator is at least one official designated by Southeast Tech to ensure compliance with Title IX
 and Southeast Tech's Title IX program. References to the Coordinator throughout this policy may also
 encompass a designee of the Coordinator for specific tasks.

• *Title IX Team* refers to the Title IX Coordinator, any deputy coordinators, and any member of the Grievance Process Pool.

2. Rationale for Policy

Southeast Tech is committed to providing a workplace and educational environment, as well as other benefits, programs, and activities, that are free from sexual harassment and retaliation. To ensure compliance with federal and state civil rights laws and regulations, and to affirm its commitment to promoting the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational program or activity,

Southeast Tech has developed this policy and related procedures to provide a prompt, fair, and impartial process for those involved in an allegation of sexual harassment or retaliation as defined by this policy. Southeast Tech values and upholds the equal dignity of all members of its community and strives to balance the rights of the parties in the grievance process during what is often a difficult time for all those involved.

3. Applicable Scope

This policy and associated procedures apply to all allegations of sexual harassment as defined in this polity occurring in connection with Southeast Tech's education program or activity within the United States. Alleged incidents of sexual discrimination or harassment not covered by this policy may be covered under other Southeast Tech's policies.

At the time of filing a Formal Complaint, a Complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of Southeast Tech, and the Respondent must be a member of the Southeast Tech community. This community includes, but is not limited to, students, student organizations, faculty, administrators, staff, and third parties such as guests, visitors, volunteers, and invitees.

4. Title IX Coordinator

Sioux Falls School District Title IX Coordinator Brett Arenz also serves as the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator and oversees implementation of this policy. The Title IX Coordinator has the primary responsibility for coordinating Southeast Tech's efforts related to the intake, implementation of supportive measures, and the Grievance Process. The Title IX Coordinator also has the primary responsibility for the design and implementation of remedies to stop, remediate, and prevent sexual harassment and retaliation prohibited under this policy.

Reports of alleged sex discrimination or sexual harassment and inquiries or concerns regarding this policy, may be made internally to:

Brett Arenz, Title IX Coordinator

201 E. 38th Street Sioux Falls, SD 57105 605-367-4670

Brett.Arenz@k12.sd.us

5. Independence and Conflict-of-Interest

The Title IX Coordinator acts with independence and authority free from bias and conflicts of interest. The Title IX Coordinator oversees all resolutions under this policy and these procedures.

The members of the Title IX Team are vetted and trained to ensure they are not biased for or against any party in a specific case, or for or against Complainants and/or Respondents, generally.

To raise any concern involving bias or conflict of interest by the Title IX Coordinator, contact the Southeast Tech President. Concerns of bias or a potential conflict of interest by a member of the Grievance Process Pool should be raised with the Title IX Coordinator.

Reports of misconduct committed by the Title IX Coordinator should be reported to the Southeast Tech President or designee. Reports of misconduct committed by a member of the Grievance Process Pool should be reported to the Title IX Coordinator.

6. Required Training of the Title IX Team

The Title IX Team consists of the Title IX Coordinator and the Grievance Process Pool (the Pool) who may neither have nor demonstrate a conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent. The Title IX Coordinator is trained annually, and Pool members will be trained in their role before participating in the Grievance Process.

All training materials for the Title IX Coordinator and the Pool must not rely on sex stereotypes and must promote impartial investigations and adjudications of Formal Complaints of sexual harassment. Training materials will be posted on the Title IX page of the Southeast Tech website.

Training for the Title IX Coordinator and the Pool will include where appropriate for the role:

- The application of Southeast Tech's Sexual Harassment Title IX Policy and Procedures
- How to serve impartially, including avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias
- The definition of sexual harassment as it applies in this Policy
- The scope of Southeast Tech's education program or activity
- How to conduct an investigation and grievance process including hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes, as applicable
- Issues of relevance to create an investigation report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence
- Issues of relevance of questions and evidence, including when questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant
- Reporting, confidentiality, and privacy requirements
- How to use any technology to be used at a live hearing

7. Notice and Formal Complaints of Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

Notice - Any person may report (give Notice of) sex discrimination, including sexual harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment), in person, by mail, by telephone, or by electronic mail, using the contact information listed for the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator, or by any other means that results in the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator receiving the person's verbal or written report. Such a report may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number, electronic mail address, or by mail to the office address listed for the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator in section 6. Reports may also be made to an Official of Authority. Upon Notice, Southeast Tech's Title IX Coordinator will immediately contact the Complainant, if identified, to offer supportive measures and explain the process to file a Formal Complaint.

Anonymous reports are accepted but can give rise to a need to investigate. Southeast Tech tries to provide supportive measures to all Complainants, which may be impossible with an anonymous report. Because reporting carries no obligation to initiate a formal response, and as Southeast Tech respects Complainant requests to dismiss Formal Complaints unless there is a compelling threat to health and/or safety, the Complainant is largely in control

and should not fear a loss of privacy by making a report that allows Southeast Tech to discuss and/or provide supportive measures.

Formal Complaint - A Complainant may file a Formal complaint with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, by using the contact information for the Title IX Coordinator in section 4. A Formal Complaint must contain the Complainant's physical or digital signature and request that Southeast Tech investigate the allegations. If a Formal Complaint is submitted in a form that does not meet this standard, the Title IX Coordinator will contact the Complainant to ensure that it is filed correctly. Formal Complaints may also be filed with an Official with Authority (see section 18).

The Title IX Coordinator may sign a Formal Complaint when it is deemed necessary for the safety and security of the campus. Where the Title IX Coordinator signs a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator is not a complainant or otherwise a party.

8. Supportive Measures

Upon Notice of alleged sexual harassment, Southeast Tech's Title IX Coordinator will promptly contact the Complainant to offer and implement appropriate and reasonable supportive measures. The Title IX Coordinator will work with the Complainant to ensure that their wishes are taken into account with respect to the supportive measures that are planned and implemented and inform the Complainant that supportive measures available with or without filing a Formal Complaint. At the time that supportive measures are offered, Southeast Tech will inform the Complainant, in writing, of the process to file a Formal Complaint with Southeast Tech either at that time or in the future, if they have not done so already. If a Complainant refuses supportive measures, the Title IX Coordinator will document the refusal.

Supportive measures may be offered to a Respondent upon the filing of a Formal Complaint.

All supportive measures offered and implemented will be documented. Southeast Tech will maintain the privacy of the supportive measures, provided that privacy does not impair Southeast Tech's ability to provide the supportive measures. Southeast Tech will act to ensure as minimal an academic impact on the parties as possible. Southeast Tech will implement supportive measures in a way that does not unreasonably burden the other party.

These actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling, medical, and/or other healthcare services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Referral to community-based service providers
- Visa and immigration assistance
- Student financial aid counseling
- Education to the institutional community or community subgroup(s)
- Altering campus housing assignment(s)
- Altering work arrangements for employees or student-employees
- Safety planning
- Providing campus safety escorts
- Implementing contact limitations (no contact orders) between the parties
- Academic support, extensions of deadlines, or other course/program-related Adjustments
- No contact orders
- Timely warnings

- Class schedule modifications
- Increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus
- Any other actions deemed appropriate by the Title IX Coordinator

Violations of no contact orders will be referred to appropriate student or employee conduct processes for enforcement.

9. Emergency Removal

Nothing contained in this policy shall preclude Southeast Tech from removing a student Respondent entirely or partially from Southeast Tech's education programs or activities on an emergency basis when an individualized safety and risk analysis has determined that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations of sexual harassment justifies removal. Policy STC 914.1 describes the Emergency Removal process. A Formal Complaint and Grievance process do not need to be in place for an Emergency Removal to occur.

Where the Respondent is a non-student employee, the employee may be placed on administrative leave (with or without pay) while a Grievance Process is pending, without needing to meet the emergency removal standards.

10. Promptness

All allegations are acted upon promptly by Southeast Tech once it has received Notice or a Formal Complaint. Formal Complaints can take 60-90 business days to resolve, typically. There are always exceptions and extenuating circumstances that can cause a resolution to take longer, but Southeast Tech will avoid all undue delays within its control.

Any time the general timeframes for resolution outlined in Southeast Tech procedures will be delayed, Southeast Tech will provide written notice to the parties of the delay, the cause of the delay, and an estimate of the anticipated additional time that will be needed as a result of the delay.

11. Privacy

Every effort is made by Southeast Tech to preserve the privacy of reports. Southeast Tech will not share the identity of any individual who has made a report (given Notice) of sexual harassment or retaliation; any Complainant, any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sexual harassment or retaliation, any Respondent, or any witness, except as permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; FERPA regulations, 34 CFR part 99; or as required by law; or to carry out the purposes of 34 CFR Part 106, including the conducting of any investigation, hearing, or grievance proceeding arising under these policies and procedures.

For the purpose of this policy, privacy and confidentiality have distinct meanings.

• Privacy means that information related to a Notice, a Formal Complaint, supportive measures, and the Grievance Process will be shared with a limited number of Southeast Tech employees and the Title IX Team who "need to know" in order to assist in the assessment, investigation, and resolution of the report. All employees and Title IX Team members who are involved in Southeast Tech's response to Notice under this policy receive specific training and guidance about sharing and safeguarding private information in accordance with state and federal law. The privacy of student education records will be protected in accordance with the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), as outlined in Southeast Tech's

FERPA policy. The privacy of employee records will be protected in accordance with Human Resources policies.

Confidentiality exists in the context of laws that protect certain relationships, including those who provide
services related to medical and clinical care, mental health providers, counselors, and ordained clergy.
The law creates a privilege between certain health care providers, mental health care providers,
attorneys, clergy, and others, with their patients, clients, and parishioners.

Southeast Tech reserves the right to determine which Southeast Tech officials have a legitimate educational interest in being informed about incidents that fall within this policy, pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Information will be shared as necessary with Investigators, Decision-makers, witnesses, and the parties. The circle of people with this knowledge will be kept as tight as possible to preserve the parties' rights and privacy.

Southeast Tech may contact parents/guardians to inform them of situations in which there is a significant and articulable health and/or safety risk but will usually consult with the student first before doing so.

12. Jurisdiction of Southeast Tech

This policy applies to locations, events, or circumstances within the United States where Southeast Tech exercises substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurs and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by Southeast Tech.

If the Respondent is unknown or is not a member of the Southeast Tech community, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the Complainant with supportive measures and, when criminal conduct is alleged, in contacting local or campus law enforcement if the individual would like to file a police report.

All vendors serving Southeast Tech through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers or to these policies and procedures to which their employer has agreed to be bound by their contracts.

13. Time Limits on Reporting

There is no time limitation on providing Notice/Formal Complaints to the Title IX Coordinator. However, if the Respondent is no longer subject to Southeast Tech's jurisdiction and/or significant time has passed, the ability to investigate, respond, and provide remedies may be more limited or impossible.

Acting on Notice/Formal Complaints significantly impacted by the passage of time (including, but not limited to, the rescission or revision of policy) is at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, who may document allegations for future reference, offer supportive measures and/or remedies, and/or engage in informal or formal action, as appropriate.

When Notice/Formal Complaint is affected by significant time delay, Southeast Tech will typically apply the policy in place at the time of the alleged misconduct and the procedures in place at the time of Notice/Formal Complaint.

14. Online Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

The policies of Southeast Tech are written and interpreted broadly to include online harassment when the harassment occurs in or has an effect on Southeast Tech 's education program or activities, or use or Southeast Tech networks, websites, email, technology, or equipment.

Any online posting or other electronic communication occurring completely outside of Southeast Tech's control (e.g., not occurring in an education program or activity or not on Southeast Tech networks, websites, technology,

equipment, or using Southeast Tech email accounts) will only be subject to this policy when such online conduct can be shown to cause a substantial disruption to Southeast Tech's education program or activity.

Although Southeast Tech may not control websites, social media, and other venues in which harassing communications are made, when such communications are reported to Southeast Tech, it will engage in a variety of means to address and mitigate the effects, and supportive measures will be offered to the Complainant.

15. Policy on Nondiscrimination

Southeast Tech will respond promptly to allegations of sexual harassment in a manner that is not deliberately indifferent. Southeast Tech will treat Complainants and Respondents equitably by offering supportive measures to every Complainant and following the Grievance Process before imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures, against a Respondent. Southeast Tech will pursue every Formal Complaint filed by a Complainant or signed by a Title IX Coordinator using the Grievance Process, and effectively implement remedies designed to restore or preserve a Complainant's equal educational access any time a Respondent is found responsible for sexual harassment.

In an effort to provide a safe, respectful educational environment, Southeast Tech prohibits discrimination in its policies, employment practices, programs and activities on the basis of race, color, creed, religion, age, gender (including pregnancy), sexual orientation, disability, national origin or ancestry, military/veteran status, genetic information or any other category protected by law. Southeast Tech prohibits acts of discrimination toward any individual(s) while on Southeast Tech property or at Southeast Tech sponsored activities. Southeast Tech prohibits discrimination against students on the basis of parental status, marital status, or pregnancy. Students will not be excluded from any program or activity, including any class based on the above. Prohibited acts of discrimination include racial, sexual, ethnic or other types of slurs, insults, intimidation, harassment and other such conduct directed toward another person.

16. Definitions of Sexual Harassment Including Force, Coercing, Consent, and Incapacitation

The Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and the State of South Dakota regard sexual harassment as an unlawful discriminatory practice.

Acts of sexual harassment may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the sex, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity of those involved.

Southeast Tech has adopted the following definition of sexual harassment in order to address the unique environment of an academic community.

Sexual Harassment, as an umbrella category, includes the actual or attempted offenses of sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking, and is defined as:

Conduct on the basis of sex or that is sexual that satisfies one or more of the following:

- 1) Quid Pro Quo:
 - a. an employee of the recipient,
 - b. conditions (implicitly or explicitly) the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the recipient,
 - c. on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
- 2) Sexual Harassment:
 - a. unwelcome conduct,
 - b. determined by a reasonable person,
 - c. to be so severe, and
 - d. pervasive, and,

- e. objectively offensive,
- f. that it effectively denies a person equal access to Southeast Tech's education program or activity

3) Sexual assault, defined as:

- a) Sex Offenses, Forcible:
 - Any sexual act directed against another person,
 - o without the consent of the Complainant,
 - o including instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent.

Sexual acts include:

Forcible Rape:

- o Penetration,
- o no matter how slight,
- o of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or
- o oral penetration by a sex organ of another person,
- without the consent of the Complainant.

Forcible Sodomy:

- Oral or anal sexual intercourse with another person,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually), or
- o not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age# or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

Sexual Assault with an Object:

- O The use of an object or instrument to penetrate,
- o however slightly,
- o the genital or anal opening of the body of another person,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually),
- or not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

Forcible Fondling:

- O The touching of the private body parts of another person (buttocks, groin, breasts),
- o for the purpose of sexual gratification,
- forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually),
- or not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

b) Sex Offenses, Non-forcible:

- o Incest:
 - 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) between persons who are related to each other,
 - 3) within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by South Dakota law.
- o Statutory Rape:
 - 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) with a person who is under the statutory age of consent of South Dakota.

4) Dating Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a person,
- d. who is in or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the Complainant.
 - i. The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the Complainant's statement and with consideration of the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship. For the purposes of this definition—
 - ii. Dating violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse.
 - iii. Dating violence does not include acts covered under the definition of domestic violence.

5) Domestic Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the Complainant,
- d. by a person with whom the Complainant shares a child in common, or
- e. by a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the Complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, or
- f. by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the Complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of South Dakota, or
- g. by any other person against an adult or youth Complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of South Dakota.

*To categorize an incident as Domestic Violence, the relationship between the Respondent and the Complainant must be more than just two people living together as roommates. The people cohabitating must be current or former spouses or have an intimate relationship.

6) Stalking, defined as:

- a. engaging in a course of conduct,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. directed at a specific person, that
 - i. would cause a reasonable person to fear for the person's safety, or
 - ii. the safety of others; or
 - iii. Suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition—

- (i) Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the Respondent directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- (ii) Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the Complainant.
- (iii) Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may but does not necessarily require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

Southeast Tech reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any offense under this policy.

Force, Coercion, Consent, and Incapacitation

As used in the offenses above, the following definitions and understandings apply:

Force: Force is the use of physical violence and/or physical imposition to gain sexual access. Force also includes threats, intimidation (implied threats), and coercion that is intended to overcome resistance or produce consent (e.g., "Have sex with me or I'll hit you," "Okay, don't hit me, I'll do what you want.").

Sexual activity that is forced is, by definition, non-consensual, but non-consensual sexual activity is not necessarily forced. Silence or the absence of resistance alone is not consent. Consent is not demonstrated by the absence of resistance. While resistance is not required or necessary, it is a clear demonstration of non-consent.

Coercion: Coercion is <u>unreasonable</u> pressure for sexual activity. Coercive conduct differs from seductive conduct based on factors such as the type and/or extent of the pressure used to obtain consent. When someone makes clear that they do not want to engage in certain sexual activity, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive.

Consent is:

- knowing, and
- voluntary, and
- clear permission
- by word or action
- to engage in sexual activity.

Individuals may experience the same interaction in different ways. Therefore, it is the responsibility of each party to determine that the other has consented before engaging in the activity.

If consent is not clearly provided prior to engaging in the activity, consent may be ratified by word or action at some point during the interaction or thereafter, but clear communication from the outset is strongly encouraged.

For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct. Reasonable reciprocation can be implied. For example, if someone kisses you, you can kiss them back (if you want to) without the need to explicitly obtain *their* consent to being kissed back.

Consent can also be withdrawn once given, as long as the withdrawal is reasonably and clearly communicated. If consent is withdrawn, that sexual activity should cease within a reasonable time.

Consent to some sexual contact (such as kissing or fondling) cannot be presumed to be consent for other sexual activity (such as intercourse). A current or previous intimate relationship is not sufficient to constitute consent.

Proof of consent or non-consent is not a burden placed on either party involved in an incident. Instead, the burden remains on Southeast Tech to determine whether its policy has been violated. The existence of consent is based on the totality of the circumstances evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable person in the same or similar circumstances, including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

Consent in relationships must also be considered in context. When parties consent to BDSM (bondage, discipline/dominance, submission/sadism, and masochism) or other forms of kink, non-consent may be shown by the use of a safe word. Resistance, force, violence, or even saying "no" may be part of the kink and thus consensual, so Southeast Tech's evaluation of communication in kink situations should be guided by reasonableness, rather than strict adherence to policy that assumes non-kink relationships as a default.

Incapacitation: A person cannot consent if they are unable to understand what is happening or is disoriented, helpless, asleep, or unconscious, for any reason, including by alcohol or other drugs. As stated above, a Respondent violates this policy if they engage in sexual activity with someone who is incapable of giving consent.

It is a defense to a sexual assault policy violation that the Respondent neither knew nor should have known the Complainant to be physically or mentally incapacitated. "Should have known" is an objective, reasonable person standard that assumes that a reasonable person is both sober and exercising sound judgment.

Incapacitation occurs when someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing/informed consent (e.g., to understand the "who, what, when, where, why, or how" of their sexual interaction).

Incapacitation is determined through consideration of all relevant indicators of an individual's state and is not synonymous with intoxication, impairment, blackout, and/or being drunk.

This policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from a temporary or permanent physical or mental health condition, involuntary physical restraint, and/or the consumption of incapacitating drugs.

17. Retaliation

Protected activity under this policy includes reporting an incident that may implicate this policy, participating in the grievance process, supporting a Complainant or Respondent, assisting in providing information relevant to an investigation, and/or acting in good faith to oppose conduct that constitutes a violation of this Policy.

Acts of alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Title IX Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. Southeast Tech will take all appropriate and available steps to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.

Southeast Tech and any member of Southeast Tech's community are prohibited from taking materially adverse action by intimidating, threatening, coercing, harassing, or discriminating against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by law or policy, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this policy.

Intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination, including charges against an individual for code of conduct violations that do not involve sex discrimination or sexual harassment, but arise out of the same facts or circumstances as a report or complaint of sex discrimination, or a report or Formal Complaint of sexual harassment, for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX or this policy, constitutes retaliation.

Filing a Formal Complaint within Process B could be considered retaliatory if those charges could be applicable under Process A, when the Process B charges are made for the purpose of interfering with or circumventing any right or privilege provided afforded within Process A that is not provided by Process B. Therefore, Southeast Tech vets all Formal Complaints carefully to ensure this does not happen, and to assure that Formal Complaints are tracked to the appropriate process.

The exercise of rights protected under the First Amendment does not constitute retaliation.

Charging an individual with a code of conduct violation for making a materially false statement in bad faith in the course of a grievance proceeding under this policy and procedure does not constitute retaliation, provided that a

determination regarding responsibility, alone, is not sufficient to conclude that any party has made a materially false statement in bad faith.

Complaints alleging retaliation may be filed according to the grievance procedures for sex discrimination under Process B if Process A is not applicable.

18. Reporting Options and Mandated Reporting

In order to make informed choices, it is important to be aware of confidentiality and mandatory reporting requirements when consulting campus resources.

a. Title IX Coordinator

Any person may report (give Notice of) sex discrimination, including sexual harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment), in person, by mail, by telephone, or by electronic mail, using the contact information listed for the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator in section 4 of this policy. Such a report may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number, electronic mail address, or by mail to the office address listed for the Southeast Tech Title IX Coordinator.

b. Official with Authority (OWA)

Any person may report (give Notice of) sex discrimination, including sexual harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment), in person, by mail, by telephone, or by electronic mail to an OWA to include the following:

- Southeast Tech President
- VP of Academics
- VP of Enrollment Management
- VP of Finance and Operations
- Director of Human Resources

c. Confidential Resources

If a Complainant would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential and the incident not to be reported to the Title IX Coordinator or to an OWA to trigger action under this policy, the Complainant may do the following:

- Speak directly with one of Southeast Tech's licensed professional personal counselors
- Request to speak to a licensed professional personal counselor through the student's Success
 Advisor without revealing the alleged sexual harassment. If the student informs the Success Advisor
 of the alleged sexual harassment, the Success Advisor will be obligated to inform the Title IX
 Coordinator.
- Non-student employees may utilize the Southeast Tech Employee Assistance Plan.
- Utilize community resources such as those on the Title IX page of the Southeast Tech website, which may include:
 - Licensed professional counselors and other medical providers

- Local rape crisis counselors
- Domestic violence resources
- Local or state assistance agencies
- Clergy/Chaplains
- Attorneys

All of the above-listed individuals will maintain confidentiality when acting under the scope of their licensure, professional ethics, and/or professional credentials, except in extreme cases of immediacy of threat or danger or abuse of a minor/elder/individual with a disability, or when required to disclose by law or court order.

d. Mandated Reporters and Formal Notice/Complaints

Mandated Reporters are Southeast Tech employees who are expected to report actual or suspected sexual harassment to the Title IX Coordinator or to an OWA immediately upon knowledge. Mandated Reporters must promptly share all details of behaviors under this policy that they observe or have knowledge of, even if not reported to them by a Complainant or third-party.

The following are designated by Southeast Tech as Mandated Reporters:

- Supervisors of Southeast Tech employees
- Faculty
- Student Success Advisors
- Library, Disability Services, Tutoring Coordinator
- Southeast Tech Student Organization and Club Advisors

Generally, disclosures in climate surveys, classroom writing assignments or discussions, human subjects research, or at events such as "Take Back the Night" marches or speak-outs do not provide Notice that must be reported to the Title IX Coordinator or an OWA by Mandatory Reporters, unless the Complainant clearly indicates that they desire a report to be made or a seek a specific response from Southeast Tech.

Failure of a Mandated Reporter, as described above in this section, to report an incident of sexual harassment or retaliation of which they become aware is a violation of Southeast Tech policy and can be subject to disciplinary action for failure to comply. If a Mandatory Reporter fails to report an incident of sexual harassment of which they become aware of to the Title IX Coordinator or an OWA, Southeast Tech is not on Notice.

Though this may seem obvious, when a Mandated Reporter is engaged in harassment or other violations of this policy, they still have a duty to report their own misconduct, though Southeast Tech is technically not on Notice when a harasser is also a Mandated Reporter unless the harasser does in fact report themselves.

Finally, it is important to clarify that a Mandated Reporter who is themselves a target of harassment or other misconduct under this policy is not required to report their own experience, though they are, of course, encouraged to do so.

19. When a Complainant Does Not Wish to Proceed

If a Complainant does not wish for their name to be shared, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a Formal Complaint to be pursued, they may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate that request in light of the duty to ensure the safety of the campus and to comply with state or federal law.

The Title IX Coordinator has ultimate discretion over whether Southeast Tech proceeds when the Complainant does not wish to do so, and the Title IX Coordinator may sign a Formal Complaint to initiate a grievance process. The Title IX Coordinator's decision should be based on a compelling risk to health and/or safety that requires Southeast Tech to pursue formal action to protect the community. A compelling risk to health and/or safety may result from evidence of patterns of misconduct, predatory conduct, threats, abuse of minors, use of weapons, and/or violence. Southeast Tech may also be compelled to act on alleged employee misconduct irrespective of a Complainant's wishes.

The Title IX Coordinator must also consider the effect that non-participation by the Complainant may have on the availability of evidence and Southeast Tech's ability to pursue a Formal Grievance Process fairly and effectively.

When the Title IX Coordinator executes the written complaint, they do not become the Complainant. The Complainant is the individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute a violation of this policy.

When Southeast Tech proceeds, the Complainant may have as much or as little involvement in the process as they wish. The Complainant retains all rights of a Complainant under this Policy irrespective of their level of participation.

Note that Southeast Tech's ability to remedy and respond to Notice may be limited if the Complainant does not want Southeast Tech to proceed with a Formal Complaint. The goal is to provide the Complainant with as much control over the process as possible, while balancing the Southeast Tech's obligation to protect its community.

In cases in which the Complainant requests confidentiality/no formal action and the circumstances allow Southeast Tech to honor that request, Southeast Tech will offer supportive measures to the Complainant and the community but will not otherwise pursue formal action.

If the Complainant elects to take no action, they can change that decision if they decide to pursue a Formal Complaint at a later date. Upon making a Formal Complaint, a Complainant has the right, and can expect, to have allegations taken seriously by Southeast Tech, and to have the incidents investigated and properly resolved through the Grievance Process. Please consider that delays may cause limitations on access to evidence, or present issues with respect to the status of the parties.

20. Federal Timely Warning Obligations

Parties reporting sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and/or stalking should be aware that under the Clery Act, Southeast Tech must issue timely warnings for incidents reported to them that pose a serious or continuing threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the campus community.

Southeast Tech will ensure that a Complainant's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

21. False Allegations and Evidence

Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under this policy are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action. This does not include allegations that are made in good faith but are ultimately shown to be erroneous or do not result in a policy violation determination.

Additionally, witnesses and parties knowingly providing false evidence, tampering with or destroying evidence, or deliberately misleading an official conducting an investigation can be subject to discipline under Southeast Tech policy.

22. Amnesty for Complainants and Witnesses

Southeast Tech community encourages the reporting of misconduct and crimes by Complainants and witnesses. Sometimes, Complainants or witnesses are hesitant to report to Southeast Tech officials or participate in grievance processes because they fear that they themselves may be in violation of certain policies, such as underage drinking or use of illicit drugs at the time of the incident. Respondents may hesitate to be forthcoming during the process for the same reasons.

It is in the best interests of the Southeast Tech community that Complainants choose to report misconduct to Southeast Tech officials, that witnesses come forward to share what they know, and that all parties be forthcoming during the process.

To encourage reporting and participation in the process, Southeast Tech maintains a practice of offering parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations – such as underage consumption of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs – related to the incident.

Amnesty does not apply to more serious allegations such as physical abuse of another or illicit drug distribution. The decision not to offer amnesty is based on neither sex nor gender, but on the fact that collateral misconduct is typically addressed for all students and employees within a progressive discipline system, and the rationale for amnesty – the incentive to report serious misconduct – is rarely applicable to Respondent with respect to a Complainant.

Sometimes, employees are hesitant to report sexual harassment or retaliation they have experienced for fear that they may get in trouble themselves. For example, an employee who has violated the consensual relationship policy and is then assaulted in the course of that relationship might hesitate to report the incident to Recipient officials.

The Recipient may, at its discretion, offer employee Complainants amnesty from such policy violations (typically more minor policy violations) related to the incident. Amnesty may also be granted to Respondents and witnesses on a case-by-case basis].

23. External Administrative Contact Information

Inquiries may be made externally to:

Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202-1100

Customer Service Hotline #: (800) 421-3481

Facsimile: (202) 453-6012 TDD#: (877) 521-2172 Email: OCR@ed.gov

be made externally to:

Web: http://www.ed.gov/ocr

The EEOC has jurisdiction over Title IX Employment Claims. For Title IX concerns involving employees, inquiries may

Minneapolis Area Office Towle Building 330 South Second Avenue, Suite 720 Minneapolis, MN 55401-2224

Phone: 612-552-7306 TTY: 1-800-669-6820

ASL Video Phone: 844-234-5122

24. Revision of this Policy and Related Procedures

This Policy and related procedures supersede any previous policy(ies) addressing harassment, sexual misconduct and/or retaliation under Title IX and will be reviewed and updated annually by the Title IX Coordinator. Southeast Tech reserves the right to make changes to this document as necessary, and once those changes are posted online, they are in effect.

During the resolution process, the Title IX Coordinator may make minor modifications to procedures that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party, such as to accommodate summer schedules. The Title IX Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the institutional website, with the appropriate effective date identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this Policy and procedures.

If government laws or regulations change – or court decisions alter – the requirements in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with the most recent government regulations or holdings.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such policies and codes, generally.

Legal references:

Title IX of the US Education Amendments of 1972; 34 CFR Part 106; 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v); 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(10); 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(8); U.S.C. 12291(a)(30); Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (Clery Act); Violence Against Women Act (VAWA); Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA); 20 U.S.C. 1232g; FERPA regulations; 34 CFR part 99

ATIXA 2020 INTERIM MODEL SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES USE AND ADAPTATION OF THIS MODEL WITH CITATION TO ATIXA IS PERMITTED THROUGH A LIMITED LICENSE TO SOUTHEAST TECH ALL OTHER RIGHTS RESERVED.

© 2020. ATIXA

APPENDIX A: POLICY EXAMPLES

Some examples of possible sexual harassment include:

- A professor offers for a student to have sex or go on a date with them in exchange for a good grade. This
 constitutes sexual harassment regardless of whether the student accedes to the request and irrespective of
 whether a good grade is promised or a bad grade is threatened.
- A student repeatedly sends graphic, sexually-oriented jokes and pictures around campus via social media to
 hundreds of other students. Many don't find it funny and ask them to stop, but they do not. Because of
 these jokes, one student avoids the sender on campus and in the residence hall in which they both live,
 eventually asking to move to a different building and dropping a class they had together.
- A professor engages students in class in discussions about the students' past sexual experiences, yet the
 conversations are not in any way germane to the subject matter of the class. The professor inquires about
 explicit details and demands that students answer them, though the students are clearly uncomfortable and
 hesitant.
- An ex-partner widely spreads false stories about their sex life with their former partner to the clear discomfort and frustration of the former partner, turning the former partner into a social pariah on campus.
- Chris has recently transitioned from male to non-binary, but primarily expresses as a female. Since their transition, Chris has noticed that their African Studies professor, Dr. Mukembo, pays them a lot more attention. Chris is sexually attracted to Professor Mukembo and believes the attraction is mutual. Chris decides to act on the attraction. One day, Chris visits Dr. Mukembo during office hours, and after a long conversation about being non-binary, Chris kisses Dr. Mukembo. Dr. Mukembo is taken aback, stops the kiss, and tells Chris not to do that. Dr. Mukembo explains to Chris that they are not interested in Chris sexually or romantically. Chris takes it hard, crying to Dr. Mukembo about how hard it is to find someone who is interested in them now based on their identity. Dr. Mukembo feels sorry for Chris and softens the blow by telling them that no matter whether they like Chris or not, faculty-student relationships are prohibited by the university. Chris takes this as encouragement. One night, Chris goes to a gay bar some distance from campus and sees Dr. Mukembo at the bar. Chris tries to buy Dr. Mukembo a drink and, again, tries to kiss Dr. Mukembo. Dr. Mukembo leaves the bar abruptly. The next day, Chris makes several online posts that out Dr. Mukembo as gay and raise questions about whether they are sexually involved with students. Dr. Mukembo contacts the Title IX Office and alleges that Chris is sexually harassing him.

Examples of Stalking

• Students A and B were "friends with benefits." Student A wanted a more serious relationship, which caused student B to break it off. Student A could not let go, and pursued student B relentlessly. Student B obtained a campus no-contact order. Subsequently, Student B discovered their social media accounts were being accessed, and things were being posted and messaged as if they were from them, but they were not. Whoever accessed their account posted a picture of a penis, making it look as if they had sent out a picture of themselves, though it was not their penis. This caused them considerable embarrassment and social anxiety. They changed their passwords, only to have it happen again. Seeking help from the Title IX Coordinator, Student B met with the IT department, which discovered an app on their phone and a keystroke recorder on their laptop, both of which were being used to transmit their data to a third party.

• A graduate student working as an on-campus tutor received flowers and gifts delivered to their office. After learning the gifts were from a student they recently tutored, the graduate student thanked the student and stated that it was not necessary and would appreciate it if the gift deliveries stopped. The student then started leaving notes of love and gratitude on the tutor's car, both on-campus and at home. Asked again to stop, the student stated by email, "You can ask me to stop, but I'm not giving up. We are meant to be together, and I'll do anything to make you have the feelings for me that I have for you." When the tutor did not respond, the student emailed again, "You cannot escape me. I will track you to the ends of the earth. If I can't have you, no one will."

Examples of Sexual Assault:

- Amanda and Bill meet at a party. They spend the evening dancing and getting to know each other. Bill convinces Amanda to come up to his room. From 11:00 p.m. until 3:00 a.m., Bill uses every line he can think of to convince Amanda to have sex with him, but she adamantly refuses. Despite her clear communications that she is not interested in doing anything sexual with him, Bill keeps at her, questions her religious convictions, and accuses her of being "a prude." He brings up several rumors that he has heard about how she performed oral sex on a number of other guys. Finally, it seems to Bill that her resolve is weakening, and he convinces her to "jerk him off" (hand to genital contact). Amanda would have never done it but for Bill's incessant advances. He feels that he successfully seduced her and that she wanted to do it all along but was playing shy and hard to get. Why else would she have come up to his room alone after the party? If she really didn't want it, she could have left.
- Jiang is a junior. Beth is a sophomore. Jiang comes to Beth's residence hall room with some mutual friends to watch a movie. Jiang and Beth, who have never met before, are attracted to each other. After the movie, everyone leaves, and Jiang and Beth are alone. They hit it off, soon become more intimate, and start to make out. Jiang verbally expresses his desire to have sex with Beth. Beth, who was abused by a babysitter at the age of five and avoids sexual relations as a result, is shocked at how quickly things are progressing. As Jiang takes her by the wrist over to the bed, lays her down, undresses, and begins to have intercourse with Beth, Beth has a severe flashback to her childhood trauma. She wants to tell Jiang to stop but cannot. Beth is stiff and unresponsive during the intercourse.
- Kevin and John are at a party. Kevin is not sure how much John has been drinking, but he is pretty sure it's a lot. After the party, he walks John to his apartment, and John comes on to Kevin, initiating sexual activity. Kevin asks John if he is really up to this, and John says yes. They remove each other's clothes, and they end up in John's bed. Suddenly, John runs for the bathroom. When he returns, his face is pale, and Kevin thinks he may have thrown up. John gets back into bed, and they begin to have sexual intercourse. Kevin is having a good time, though he can't help but notice that John seems pretty groggy and passive, and he thinks John may have even passed out briefly during the sex, but he came to again. When Kevin runs into John the next day, he thanks him for the great night. John remembers nothing and decides to make a report to the Dean.

Examples of Retaliation:

- Student-athlete A alleges sexual harassment by a coach; the coach subsequently cuts the student-athlete's playing time without a legitimate justification.
- A faculty member alleges gender inequity in pay within her department; the Department Chair then revokes his approval for her to attend a national conference, citing the faculty member's tendency to "ruffle feathers."

• A student from Organization A participates in a sexual harassment investigation as a witness whose testimony is damaging to the Respondent, who is also a member of Organization A; the student is subsequently removed as a member of Organization A because of their participation in the investigation.

Policy Board Action

Adopted: 09.05.18 ST00331 (formerly JICK/STC 07-01-25)

Amended: 09.30.20 ST00495